I. Introduction

HIV has blazed throughout sub-Saharan Africa, particularly Southern Africa, leaving almost no community unaffected by its devastating effects. Despite successful interventions in some countries and communities to combat the virus, it has continued to wreak its havoc at an alarming rate. Evidence continues to pour in, identifying solutions that have the capability to reduce the transmission of HIV substantially. Nonetheless, HIV/AIDS is mired in politics and foreign aid, which causes efforts to stray away from evidence-based solutions. Although the effectiveness of reduction in multiple concurrent partnerships and the delay of sexual debut is evident, prevention efforts often focus almost entirely on condom promotion, to the exclusion of promoting behavior change that addresses multiple partnerships. UNAIDS, a United Nations body with a lot of political and financial weight, has continued to promote strategies that often do not address the fundamental underlying behaviors that facilitate the spread of HIV. The need is urgent for locally driven campaigns that are aimed at stopping risky behaviors and cultivating a culture of personal responsibility. In order for these campaigns to be effective, they must respect local cultures and engage community leaders.

This paper first presents an overview of how HIV is transmitted, statistics on how many people are affected by HIV/AIDS, and the differences between prevalence and incidence and generalized and concentrated epidemics, critical for understanding how to respond appropriately and effectively in various contexts. Next, it details the elements of a person-centered response, particularly risk avoidance, which is based on a holistic perception of the person’s needs in prevention, treatment, care, and support and underscores the capacity of the person to make responsible choices that greatly reduce the risk of transmitting or contracting HIV. It explores the importance of local development of intervention strategies in effectively communicating to and meeting the needs of the person. The paper then focuses on the success of antiretroviral therapy in treating those infected with HIV, strategies that effectively curtail the risk of HIV transmission, namely reducing multiple concurrent partners and delay of sexual debut, and strategies that can only reduce the risk of HIV transmission, such as circumcision, condom use, and voluntary counseling and testing. Finally, the paper describes UNAIDS’ successes in promoting access to antiretroviral therapy and its failures in overprioritization and promotion of interventions that have not proven the most effective in generalized epidemics.

II. HIV/AIDS background, statistics, and terminology

A. HIV transmission

HIV, or human immunodeficiency virus, is a virus that leads to the deterioration of the immune system by infecting human immune system cells and destroying or impairing their function.1 Once the immune system is deficient, it is not able to fight against infections and diseases.

causing increased susceptibility to infections.\textsuperscript{2} Infections that take advantage of the weakened immune system are called opportunistic infections.\textsuperscript{3} A person has AIDS, or acquired immunodeficiency syndrome, when he or she is in the most advanced stages of HIV and is infected by any of more than twenty opportunistic infections.\textsuperscript{4} When a person contracts HIV, there are often no immediate symptoms, and thus one can go for a while without knowing that he or she has HIV.\textsuperscript{5} During the initial period of infection, a person with HIV is highly infectious and transmission to another person is possible.\textsuperscript{6} Antibodies to HIV are developed through a process called seroconversion one to six weeks after the point of infection.\textsuperscript{7} The risk of transmission is highest during the postseroconversion period, when the HIV load is highest.\textsuperscript{8} One study found that the rate of transmission for discordant couples, in which one partner is HIV-positive and the other is not, was twelve times higher during the 2.5 months after seroconversion than during the intermediate stage (“prevalent phase”) of HIV infection, and that the rate again increased in the late stages of HIV infection.\textsuperscript{9}

HIV is transmitted through preventable means: unprotected sex with an HIV-positive person (whether vaginal, anal, or, to a lesser extent, oral), using HIV-contaminated needles and syringes, from HIV-positive mother to her child in pregnancy, childbirth, or breastfeeding, and blood transfusion with HIV-contaminated blood.\textsuperscript{10} Thus, it is clear that HIV does not affect only those with high-risk behaviors, such as sex workers, injecting drug users, and men who have sex with men.\textsuperscript{11}

**B. Statistics**

More than 34 million people were living with HIV at the end of 2010, including 3.4 million children under the age of 15 years.\textsuperscript{12} 2.7 million people, including 390,000 children, became infected with HIV in 2010.\textsuperscript{13} 1.9 million new infections in 2010 were in sub-Saharan Africa.\textsuperscript{14} 1.8 million people died of AIDS-related causes in 2010.\textsuperscript{15} 69 percent of which (1.2 million) were
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located in sub-Saharan Africa. More than 60 million people have been infected with HIV since the beginning of its existence, and over 30 million have died of AIDS.

C. Prevalence vs. incidence

According to UNAIDS, “HIV prevalence quantifies the proportion of individuals in a population who are living with HIV at a specific point in time,” and is usually measured among individuals aged 15-49. HIV incidence, on the other hand, “is the number of new cases arising in a given period in a specified population,” and is also usually measured among individuals aged 15-49. HIV prevalence does not consider when the person became infected. Given that HIV-positive people are living longer today, incidence (the number of new infections) gives a better picture of how various interventions are working to reduce HIV transmission than does prevalence.

D. Generalized vs. concentrated epidemics

The HIV epidemic is not a global one. Rather, there are various epidemics around the world, each with its own challenges and solutions. These epidemics can be divided into two general types: concentrated and generalized. Historically, HIV epidemics have been sorted into categories based on prevalence, where those with more than 5 percent of a subpopulation but less than one percent of the general population infected are classified as concentrated epidemics. David Wilson of the World Bank has suggested a new framework, where epidemics are defined by “WHO gets infected and HOW,” not by prevalence. Under this framework, “epidemics [are] concentrated if transmission [is] mostly among vulnerable groups and if protecting vulnerable groups would protect wider society. Conversely, epidemics [are] generalized if transmission [is] mainly outside vulnerable groups and would continue despite effective vulnerable group interventions.” This transmission-based understanding of epidemics allows for appropriate interventions, for solutions in concentrated epidemics do not necessarily work in generalized epidemics. HIV is simply not spread in the same manner in each kind of
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epidemic. In concentrated epidemics, HIV infection occurs in vulnerable groups (also known as most at risk populations, or MARPS): sex workers, injecting drug users (IDUs), and men who have sex with men (MSM). More men than women are infected, and heterosexual vaginal intercourse is not the primary mode of transmission. In generalized epidemics, HIV is spread through heterosexual vaginal intercourse, and it infects sexually active people who have low-risk behavior. More women than men are infected.

Again, knowing what kind of epidemic a country is facing is important for tailoring and instituting appropriate interventions. As discussed below, successful interventions in concentrated epidemics have not worked in generalized epidemics. In generalized epidemics, circumcision and partner reduction have reduced HIV transmission, but interventions targeted at those engaged in high-risk behaviors, such as the promotion of condoms, have not worked, even though they were more effective in concentrated epidemics.

III. Elements of a person-centered response to HIV/AIDS

The HIV/AIDS epidemic must be met with a person-centered approach, which is based on a holistic perception of the person’s needs in prevention, treatment, care, and support. A person-centered response has as its focus the intrinsic dignity of the person, and as its aim the goal of maximizing the effectiveness of HIV/AIDS programs and education. The effectiveness of prevention interventions lies in the way in which they respond to the capacity of the person to make responsible choices. The goal of HIV prevention is ultimately to build a culture of individual responsibility, and to foster programs that go beyond mere harm reduction strategies. Given the complexity of the virus and the extent of the global epidemic, it is critical that the focus remain on the science of transmission and the importance of pursuing choices that avoid risk.

A person-centered response has as its focus the intrinsic dignity of the person, and as its aim the goal of maximizing the effectiveness of HIV/AIDS programs and education.
choices that avoid risk. It is also essential to understand the differences between concentrated and generalized epidemics and to tailor strategies depending on the type of epidemic involved.

A. Risk avoidance

There are two basic approaches to risks associated with HIV transmission: harm reduction and risk avoidance. Harm reduction strategies focus on reducing the harm—in effect, treating the symptoms—caused by harmful behavior, and it is term that is often used to justify high-risk behavior. The International Harm Reduction Association, which hosts a yearly conference supported by UNAIDS, defines harm reduction in the context of its focus, drug use:

Harm reduction refers to policies, programmes and practices that aim to reduce the harms associated with the use of psychoactive drugs in people unable or unwilling to stop. The defining features are the focus on the prevention of harm, rather than on the prevention of drug use itself, and the focus on people who continue to use drugs.

This is an approach strongly endorsed by the World Health Organization (WHO), and it entails needle and syringe programs, opioid substitution therapy, condom distribution, management of sexually transmitted infections (STIs), tuberculosis, and viral hepatitis, HIV testing, and HIV treatment and care. This harm reduction approach is applicable to sexual transmission of HIV as well, where the focus is on condom use, testing, and management of STIs. Harm reduction programs “defend people’s alleged rights to engage in a variety of high-risk behaviors.” This attempt to defend people’s right to engage in high-risk behavior is misguided, destructive, and in opposition to a person-centered response. High-risk behaviors are usually inherently destructive. Although providing comprehensive medical and HIV services to persons engaged in high-risk behaviors is laudable, it is misguided to promote and defend a right to engage in these behaviors because they are so destructive.

Those who reject the harm reduction approach recognize that it “give[s] the message that society has given up on the addict, condones their drug use, and condemns them to a life of drug
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This criticism applies more broadly: for example, harm reduction also gives the message that society has given up on the sex worker, condones a person’s choice to pay a man, woman, girl, or boy for sex, and condemns the sex worker to a life of dependence on selling his or her body for subsistence. Another societal message is that the typical African cannot control his or her sexuality, and thus promoting condoms is the best way to deal with concurrent sexual relations.

Risk avoidance strategies focus on changing the underlying behavior that puts a person in a position of harm. While behavior change is an essential component of any approach to the prevention of HIV transmission, primary behavior change is a specific subset of these behavior changes that is concerned with risk avoidance. In the case of the addict, risk avoidance means focusing on treating the illness of addiction. In the case of the sex worker, risk avoidance means empowering the woman to change her career. In the case of many Africans at risk of contracting HIV, reducing multiple concurrent partnerships so that there is mutual fidelity between two HIV-negative partners avoids the risk of contracting HIV, as discussed below.

Although the foundation of these approaches is different, in the delivery of practical approaches and program implementation there is usually a mix of both approaches. However, programs emphasize or prioritize one approach over the other.

B. Local, home-grown intervention strategies

Responses to HIV/AIDS epidemics are most successful when they come from within the affected communities themselves, and person-centered responses in particular are most appropriate if they understand the context in which the infected person lives. In both Uganda and California, local leaders led the charge for behavior change, capitalizing on a message of fear but also emphasizing openness and acceptance, as opposed to
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discrimination.49 Uganda’s successful community-based response is particularly well-known and lauded,50 with organized mobilization by “faith based organisations, prominent cultural figures [. . . ], political, military and community figures, non-governmental organisations (NGOs) and care organisations.”51 Most importantly, Uganda’s president was highly involved in combatting the spread of HIV.52 Uganda used a “zero grazing” message, a concept with which Ugandans easily identified, to convey the importance of faithfulness in combating the transmission of HIV.53 This message, along with the messages “Be faithful” and “Love carefully,”54 were spread throughout the country by the media, on posters, through plays and music performances, by the three major faith communities, and even by traditional healers.55 Further, the involvement of those actually affected by HIV allowed for effective and energizing intervention strategies.56

There are substantial financial resources and international development dedicated to combat HIV/AIDS.57 Because money is attractive, many organizations are willing to receive aid and alter the approach they believe would be best for their community even if they do not agree with the strings attached. Foreign aid often means foreign ideas as to the best prevention efforts, even though the prevention issue is fundamentally local, and the real solutions come from within the countries affected.58 Many times, messages approved by Africans are changed by Westerners who think they have a better idea about what will get the message across,59 or there is no input or feedback from Africans.60 Many HIV prevention messages designed by well-intentioned Westerners are highly sexualized and based on an ideology focused on sexual and reproductive rights.61 This can cause those who recognize that their own behavior is not “promiscuous” to reject the idea that they are at risk of contracting HIV.62 Furthermore, culturally inappropriate messages can cause people to feel ashamed of their behavior and thus to refuse to undergo testing or to receive care.63

Community-driven initiatives are particularly critical given that many AIDS prevention
catchphrases used by global health policy experts are not well-understood locally.\textsuperscript{64} Social and cultural considerations are important for effective communication-based prevention efforts.\textsuperscript{65}

IV. Person-centered treatment

A. Antiretroviral treatment

A person-centered response to HIV/AIDS requires the provision of care and treatment for those infected with HIV. Studies show that combination antiretroviral therapy, which is a cocktail of at least three antiretroviral (ARV) drugs that works by suppressing viral replication and progression of the disease,\textsuperscript{66} seriously decreases mortality rates and potential years of life lost while increasing life expectancy.\textsuperscript{67} Life expectancy is lower for those who were infected through injecting drug use and those who initiated treatment at a later stage of infection.\textsuperscript{68} Early initiation is optimal for decreasing the risk of mortality.\textsuperscript{69}

Unfortunately, only 6.6 million people, including 420,000–460,000 children, in low- and middle-income countries were receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) as of 2011.\textsuperscript{70} Governments and donors must commit more aid to the provision of ART to the 9 million people who still lack it.\textsuperscript{71} Commitment to ART is particularly critical because treatment is lifelong, as HIV is not eradicable.\textsuperscript{72}

B. Treatment as prevention

Evidence is clear that early treatment with antiretrovirals (ARVs) drastically reduces the risk of
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transmitting HIV. In one study, early initiation of antiretroviral therapy led to an 89 percent reduction in the number of HIV-1 transmissions. ARVs decrease the viral load to a level that makes it virtually impossible to transmit HIV to another person, and early treatment speeds up the process of reducing the viral load. This is good news particularly for discordant couples, where one person is HIV-positive and the other is not. Evidence also shows that initiation of ARVs during pregnancy reduces to almost zero perinatal transmission and transmission during breastfeeding from HIV-positive mother to child, regardless of how advanced the mother’s HIV is.

ART allows committed, faithful, discordant couples to continue to engage in sexual relations. However, ART should not be considered by HIV-positive people to be a license to engage in risky behavior. ART is not 100 percent effective. The strategies detailed below are the best ways of avoiding the risk of contracting HIV, and should be followed by HIV-positive people who are not in a committed, faithful relationship.

V. Person-centered prevention strategies

A. Primary behavior change

1. Reduction in multiple concurrent partners (mutual fidelity)

Evidence shows that “in every case of HIV prevalence decline in Africa, the proportion of men and women who report >1 sexual partner in the past year has declined significantly, a few years prior to significant prevalence decline. This refers to partners of any sort.” This suggests that reduction in the number of partners each person has is a critical component of reducing the HIV prevalence rate. Unfortunately, having concurrent partners (more than one partner at a time) is not uncommon in many African countries, and evidence strongly indicates that concurrency is
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largely to blame for high HIV prevalence in southern and eastern Africa. In some cases, concurrency results from a migrant labor system, where men go off to work in mines or in other industries, leading to extramarital relationships. In others, it is a result of transactional sex, where women participate in ongoing sexual relationships in exchange for money, food, and gifts, leading them to tolerate partners’ relationships with other women, and often leading them to have multiple partners themselves. Concurrency is also an obvious component of polygamous relationships.

A UNAIDS reference group has suggested that concurrency be defined as “overlapping sexual partnerships in which sexual intercourse with one partner occurs between two acts of intercourse with another partner.” According to the group, “[t]his definition embodies the generally understood meaning of concurrency, and makes explicit the importance of sex with two different partners in temporally overlapping partnerships.” Other researchers suggest the definition be “the overlap of one or more sexual partnerships for a period of one month or longer,” taking into consideration that acute HIV infection lasts for approximately one month, “which is an important element for transmission during concurrent partnerships.” This debate over the definition of concurrency is important for further research, particularly in surveying people about their sexual behavior to determine what contributes to HIV prevalence increase or decrease.

Examples of sexual concurrency include when a man is married and at the same time has a long-term girlfriend, or when a man has two simultaneous wives (polygamy) or girlfriends; likewise, a woman can have a husband and a boyfriend or two or more boyfriends at the same time. In some cases, the wife of a man with a girlfriend on the side might also have her own boyfriend on the side. These situations create extensive networks of sexual partners. One study of seven villages in Malawi, for example, found that half of sexually active respondents were connected to each other through a sexual network and one-quarter were connected through multiple independent sexual relationships.
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The Malawi example immediately suggests the problem with sexual concurrency in places with HIV epidemics. If one person in a network of concurrency has HIV, everyone in the network is at risk of contracting HIV.\textsuperscript{90} This even includes a member of the network who is monogamous, such as the wife of a man who has a long-term girlfriend in the city where he works as a miner. This explains why HIV does not just infect those who engage in “promiscuous” behavior; a person who remains faithful to his or her partner can contract HIV.\textsuperscript{91}

This problem is intensified by the nature of HIV transmission, where the viral load is high during the acute phase in the first few months after contracting HIV.\textsuperscript{92} The transmission risk per sexual act is 10 to 30 times higher during the acute phase than during the chronic phase of HIV infection.\textsuperscript{93} Thus, “[i]f someone has concurrent regular partners, and is newly infected by one of them, he (or she) is able to expose the other partner immediately and repeatedly during this acute phase.”\textsuperscript{94} Even if sexual acts are infrequent, at one to two times per week, the probability of HIV transmission is still high within a particular relationship.\textsuperscript{95} This is in contrast to serial monogamy, where an infected person will likely pass through the acute phase before acquiring a new partner, and thus will not expose that new partner to as great a risk of contracting HIV.\textsuperscript{96} There are also a higher total number of coital acts during regular concurrent partnerships than in casual partnerships, causing concurrency to pose greater risks.\textsuperscript{97}

Long-term concurrent relationships also pose the problem of infrequency of condom use.\textsuperscript{98} Evidence shows that condom use in any long-term partnership is much lower than in casual sexual encounters or short-term relationships.\textsuperscript{99}

\textit{Africa: evidence from Likoma Island, Malawi}, 21 AIDS 2323, 2323, 2330 (2007). The study was not able to assess whether the sexual relationships were concurrent or serial. \textit{Id.} at 2325.


\textsuperscript{91} \textit{See}, e.g., Epstein & Morris, \textit{supra} note 90, at 16.

\textsuperscript{92} \textit{Id.} at 14.

\textsuperscript{93} \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{94} \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{95} \textit{Id.} at 18.

\textsuperscript{96} \textit{Id.} at 14; Mah & Halperin, \textit{supra} note 86, at 14.

\textsuperscript{97} Mah & Halperin, \textit{supra} note 86, at 14.

\textsuperscript{98} \textit{See}, e.g., Epstein & Morris, \textit{supra} note 90, at 14; Mah & Halperin, \textit{supra} note 86, at 14.

Edward C. Green states that what has worked in Africa are “[s]trategies that break up these multiple and concurrent sexual networks – or, in plain language, faithful mutual monogamy or at least reduction in numbers of partners, especially concurrent ones,” which avoids the risk of HIV transmission. Within polygamy, faithfulness is a solution in addition to consistent condom use.

The most famous example of HIV prevalence turnaround is Uganda, where fidelity and partner reduction were two of several forms of behavior change observed over the period that the prevalence rate decreased. Fidelity was the primary component of a home-grown, nationwide strategy in Uganda, later dubbed the ABC campaign by an American, given that the three major interventions that led to a decline in the HIV rate were abstinence (delay of sexual debut), being faithful, and condoms as a last resort. Thailand was also able to decrease its HIV prevalence rate because men had fewer commercial and casual sex partners after public campaigns. A reduction in partners has also been successful in gay communities in Europe and the United States.

In 2006, the South African Development Committee organized a meeting of experts, who determined that “[k]ey drivers of the epidemic in southern Africa [include] multiple concurrent partnerships by men and women with low consistent condom use, and in the context of low levels of male circumcision.” The participants emphasized prioritizing interventions that aim to reduce the number of multiple and concurrent partnerships. Because interventions that are already in place are relatively new and have not been tested, there is a continuing need to assess these interventions and develop effective strategies for partner reduction.
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2. Delay of sexual debut

Delay of sexual debut is another key behavior change that avoids the risk of contracting HIV, since people cannot contract HIV sexually if they are not engaging in sexual activity. Evidence shows that early sexual debut “is a significant predictor of prevalent HIV infection.”\(^{111}\) Young people who initiate sex early are at a greater risk of contracting STIs and HIV, and thus if more people initiate sex early, HIV can spread more rapidly.\(^{112}\)

A delay in the onset of sexual activity was associated with a decline in HIV prevalence in Zimbabwe.\(^{113}\) Delaying the onset of sexual activity means that a person is less exposed to the risk of contracting HIV sexually than he or she would have been otherwise. This is particularly important for young women, who are more susceptible to contracting HIV than young men.\(^{114}\) This susceptibility is due to both biological factors and behavioral factors. A key behavioral factor is the tendency of younger women to have relationships with older men, who have had more sexual partners.\(^{115}\) Biological factors include physical and immunological immaturity of the female genital tract\(^{116}\) and the presence of cervical ectopy.\(^{117}\)

The benefits of delay of sexual debut are contingent on other behavior changes after initiation of sexual activity. Although a person is not exposed to a risk of contracting HIV sexually before he engages in sexual activity, once he becomes sexually active, he becomes exposed to the risk. Thus, it is necessary for anyone who delays sexual debut to then practice mutual fidelity once he engages in sexual activity. Furthermore, since sexual partnerships are often between older men and younger women,\(^{118}\) a young woman who delays her sexual debut may be at great risk if she
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begins a sexual relationship with an older man. Abstaining from these relationships will reduce a woman’s risk of contracting HIV. In Uganda, criminalization of sex with a minor under the age of 18 years helped to decrease HIV prevalence in the 15-19 age group more than in any other age group.

VI. Strategies that only reduce—or even increase—the risk of HIV transmission

A. Circumcision

Circumcision is a key component of any HIV prevention efforts, although by itself it can only partially reduce the risk of contracting HIV. Widely practiced circumcision in western Africa, where most countries are Muslim, partly explains why HIV prevalence there is five times lower than in southern Africa, where circumcision is much less common. Widespread circumcision also helps to explain why HIV prevalence is so low in Pakistan, Bangladesh, Indonesia, and the Philippines, even though HIV has been present there for two decades. Randomized circumcision trials have confirmed the success of circumcision in reducing the transmission of HIV. Several trials were stopped after interim analyses showed circumcision to be so effective that it was unnecessary to continue the trials. The trials “provide firm evidence that the risk of acquiring HIV is halved by male circumcision,” and this efficacy could translate into the prevention of tens of thousands of new HIV infections each year. Before governments begin to implement wide-scale circumcision programs, however, they must educate people on the procedure, emphasizing that circumcision alone is not an effective strategy at combating HIV transmission. There must also be research on the possible acceptance of circumcision by people in eastern and southern Africa. Furthermore, governments must build
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the capacity to provide safe and cost-effective circumcision services. Finally, there are ethical concerns about mass circumcision with respect to when it is not a necessary procedure for all men and with respect to children and whether or not they are able to consent. Circumcision, as well as other prevention efforts, has to be accepted within the local context.

Because circumcision does not completely shield against the transmission of HIV, it is essential that it be accompanied by a reduction in multiple concurrent partners and a delay in sexual debut.

B. Condoms

Although condom use has played a significant role in reducing HIV transmission in concentrated epidemics, where transmission occurs primarily in sex work or among men who have sex with men, condom use has not been a primary factor in reducing HIV transmission in any generalized epidemic. “100% Condom Programs” helped to reduce transmissions in Thailand and Cambodia—countries with concentrated epidemics—because they mandated condom use in commercial sex, and these programs were successful because enforcement was possible in brothels. These public awareness measures also caused men to be aware of the risk of HIV transmission, leading to a substantial decline in the percentage of men who visited sex workers, from 20 percent to 10 percent, a behavior change that detracts from the claims that condoms were solely responsible for the success in these countries.

The success of the condom approach does not translate to generalized epidemics, in which transmission generally occurs within steady relationships, where condoms are not typically used. Many people believe that faithfulness and condom use are mutually exclusive. Women are often powerless to insist on the use of condoms because it signals to their male partners a lack of trust. Rates of HIV transmission are high in many countries in sub-Saharan Africa, even though rates of condom use are high. There is also concern that promoting condom use and the benefits of condoms will lead people to miscalculate
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risk and engage in riskier behaviors\textsuperscript{141}; to begin with, the provision of condoms does not even address the underlying risky behavior.

Even though condoms are 90 percent effective in preventing transmission of HIV when they are used correctly and consistently, the problem is that they are rarely used correctly and consistently, particularly in higher risk situations.\textsuperscript{142} Also, 90 percent effectiveness does not mean 100 percent effectiveness, and it is factually and ethically wrong to spread the message that condoms are the most effective means to avoid HIV acquisition.\textsuperscript{143} Appropriate communications about HIV transmission requires telling the truth.\textsuperscript{144}

C. Voluntary HIV counseling and testing (VCT)

Although widespread testing is necessary for treatment roll-out, voluntary HIV counseling and testing (VCT) does not work alone as a prevention method. First, many people do not undergo VCT even though it is made easily available and free of charge, often due to fear or denial.\textsuperscript{145} Second, acceptance of VCT was shown to have no effect on “subsequent risk behaviors” for those who test HIV-negative,\textsuperscript{146} meaning that HIV-negative people did not change their sexual behavior as a result of undergoing counseling and testing. Third, repeatedly testing negative can actually have an adverse effect on behavior, causing participants to engage in riskier activities because their perception is that they are resistant to infection.\textsuperscript{147}

Evidence shows that testing does reduce the transmission of HIV for individuals that test positive for HIV,\textsuperscript{148} particularly for those individuals in discordant relationships.\textsuperscript{149} This indicates that testing is critical in reducing high-risk behavior of those individuals who are HIV-positive.\textsuperscript{150} Furthermore, treatment cannot exist without testing, because a person must be tested to know that he or she has HIV and then must undergo treatment. It is thus essential that testing be available. However, testing is not a stand-alone prevention strategy.
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D. Hormonal contraception

Evidence shows that hormonal contraception doubles the risk of HIV-1 acquisition by women and HIV-1 transmission from women to men. Injectable methods, such as depot medroxyprogesterone acetate, pose a particular risk. This is possibly because their use can change the vaginal structure and increase cervicovaginal shedding of HIV-1, among other factors. It is critical then that women have this knowledge, since they typically are the ones in their sexual relationships who control what hormonal contraceptives they take. Efforts to communicate the risk of taking hormonal contraception are absolutely necessary, yet the WHO states, “Women at high risk of HIV can continue to use all existing hormonal contraceptive methods without restriction,” an assertion echoed by UNAIDS, WHO also recommends the simultaneous use of condoms for women at high risk of HIV. Condom use, however, is typically controlled by men. Expecting that women will be able to enforce the simultaneous use of two forms of contraception does not address the reality that many women face, one in which condom use is not culturally appropriate. It is hard enough for many women to use one form of contraception in many settings. To suggest they routinely use two forms is not realistic.

VII. The role of UNAIDS in setting global HIV/AIDS policy

The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) is the United Nations body charged with addressing the global HIV and AIDS epidemic. UNAIDS is considered an authority on HIV/AIDS within the global health policy community. While UNAIDS’ approaches to HIV treatment and mother-to-child transmission prevention understand the needs of those afflicted by HIV, its approach to prevention in generalized epidemics is largely not focused on evidence-based, person-centered interventions. Several recently released books detail UNAIDS’ failures to prioritize effective interventions. They highlight UNAIDS’ mischaracterization of the AIDS epidemic to gain funding, and its choice not to publish both
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data on the success of reducing multiple concurrent partnerships in preventing HIV transmission\textsuperscript{160} and a study it had commissioned when the authors found that condoms were not effective in reducing HIV transmission.\textsuperscript{161} A detailed investigation of UNAIDS documents reveals that it does not elaborate on risk avoidance interventions, instead focusing primarily on promoting harm reduction strategies.\textsuperscript{162}

A. UNAIDS’ positive approach to PMTCT and ART

UNAIDS’ person-centered efforts lie in its focuses on preventing mother-to-child transmission (PMTCT) and on ART. Its prioritization of preventing new HIV infections of children has resulted in many documents on strategies and collaborations with the WHO and other organizations.\textsuperscript{163} UNAIDS, along with the WHO, has commissioned monthly intelligence reports on PMTCT.\textsuperscript{164} One of its strategy goals is to “[e]liminate new HIV infections among children by 2015 and substantially reduce AIDS-related maternal deaths.”\textsuperscript{165} It promotes four prongs that will aid in achieving this goal, including first preventing HIV among women of reproductive age, and also providing HIV testing and access to ARVs to prevent the transmission of HIV from mother to child during pregnancy, childbirth, and breastfeeding.\textsuperscript{166}

UNAIDS is also focused on increasing access to ART for those who have HIV. Its goal is to “[r]each 15 million people living with HIV with lifesaving antiretroviral treatment by 2015.”\textsuperscript{167} UNAIDS launched Treatment 2.0 with the WHO to “improve the efficiency and impact of HIV care and treatment programmes in resource-limited countries and ultimately ensure their long-term sustainability.”\textsuperscript{168} In order to do so, it has outlined five priority areas and goals for 2020,
including optimizing drug regimens, providing access to treatment, reducing costs, adapting delivery systems, and mobilizing communities to be involved in the entire process.\textsuperscript{169}

\textbf{B. UNAIDS’ failure in prioritizing harm reduction and condom promotion over other prevention strategies}

\textbf{1. Condom use instead of reduction in multiple concurrent partners and delay of sexual debut}

UNAIDS is unwavering in its support of condoms in the fight against HIV transmission, even in the general population,\textsuperscript{170} where condom use has not proven to be the most effective strategy.\textsuperscript{171} One of its strategy goals is to reduce sexual transmission of HIV by half by 2015.\textsuperscript{172} UNAIDS recognizes that to achieve this goal, a comprehensive approach to HIV prevention is necessary. It acknowledges that “[s]trengthened programming using the latest knowledge and best practices to deliver effective prevention, treatment and care services to people in need, or at risk, is highly effective.”\textsuperscript{173} However, UNAIDS is unequivocal in its support of condoms as the “single, most efficient, available technology to reduce the sexual transmission of HIV and other sexually transmitted infections.”\textsuperscript{174} Fortunately, UNAIDS recently has mentioned reduction of multiple concurrent partners and delay of sexual debut in its reports on how HIV transmission has decreased,\textsuperscript{175} yet these mentions are not given the same priority as condoms. These strategies are often alluded to only as behavior change, which could also mean increased use of condoms, without being fully discussed. For example, in the first chapter of its 2010 Global Report, UNAIDS indicates its priorities in big, bold, red letters: “Young people still lack knowledge and, importantly, often lack the tools they need to practice HIV risk-reduction strategies, however. Many people still lack ready access to condoms and lubrication, and people who inject drugs also lack sufficient access to sterile needles.”\textsuperscript{176} The importance of primary behavior change also deserves a big, bold announcement, but it does not receive such attention. The UNAIDS 2011-2015 Strategy Report emphasizes a need for “revolutionizing” prevention,\textsuperscript{177} but it does not outline a revolutionary response, one that highlights the importance of reduction in multiple concurrent partnerships and of a delay in sexual debut. None of its documents has a primary

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{169} See \textit{id.} at 91.
\item \textsuperscript{171} See section VLB \textit{supra}.
\item \textsuperscript{174} UNFPA, WHO, & UNAIDS, \textit{Position statement: Condoms and HIV prevention 1} (2009) [hereinafter \textit{UNAIDS, Condom Position Statement}], \textit{available at} http://www.unfpa.org/hiv/docs/statement_condoms_HIV.pdf. UNAIDS lauds that “[c]orrect and consistent condom use has been found to be greater than 90\% effective in preventing transmission of HIV and other sexually transmitted infections.” UNAIDS, 2010 \textit{GLOBAL REPORT}, \textit{supra} note 174, at 66.
\item \textsuperscript{175} See, e.g., UNAIDS HIV prevention fast facts, \textit{supra} note 10.
\item \textsuperscript{176} UNAIDS, 2010 \textit{GLOBAL REPORT}, \textit{supra} note 173, at 14.
\item \textsuperscript{177} See \textit{UNAIDS, GETTING TO ZERO}, \textit{supra} note 172, at 31.
\end{itemize}
emphasis on risk avoidance or lays out how to promote and prioritize risk avoidance.

Where comprehensive efforts have been instituted, UNAIDS is not satisfied unless condom usage has increased. The 2010 Global Report notes that HIV incidence in Zambia decreased by more than 25 percent between 2001 and 2009 due to an increase in the age of sexual debut and abstinence among young people in addition to a decline in the number of adults who are sexually active or who have multiple partners.\textsuperscript{178} However, the report decries that condom use among young men and women in Zambia has decreased.\textsuperscript{179}

In a position paper on condoms and HIV prevention published in 2004 and updated in 2009, UNAIDS asserts that “condoms will remain the key preventive tool for many, many years to come.”\textsuperscript{180} The paper further states, “Condoms have also encouraged safer sexual behaviour more generally,”\textsuperscript{181} when in reality there is concern that condom use actually encourages riskier sexual behavior.\textsuperscript{182} The paper highlights how condoms have played a central role in countries fighting HIV and AIDS, including Uganda, and even though it mentions the roles of delay of sexual debut and reduction in multiple concurrent partners,\textsuperscript{183} it does not indicate that the success in Uganda was largely due to reduction in multiple concurrent partners.\textsuperscript{184} Even though UNAIDS recognizes that concurrency is a key driver of HIV,\textsuperscript{185} it does not prioritize interventions to discourage concurrency and encourage delay of sexual debut.

Female empowerment is also a central theme for UNAIDS in eradicating the sexual transmission of HIV. UNAIDS promotes the female condom because it can give women more control in protecting themselves from HIV.\textsuperscript{186} It has also found that condoms are a negotiation tool for women and girls.\textsuperscript{187} It recognizes, however, that women are vulnerable because they do not have equal
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decision-making powers.\textsuperscript{188} Therefore, an overreliance on the use of condoms is not beneficial to the prevention of HIV transmission. Strengthening of couples and families with the goal of decreasing exploitation of women is needed.

Further exacerbating the problem of overreliance on condoms, condom usage is not acceptable in many contexts, which UNAIDS understands.\textsuperscript{189} However, instead of identifying culturally and contextually appropriate methods to combat HIV transmission, its recommendations primarily entail efforts to encourage more people to use condoms.\textsuperscript{190} UNAIDS should recognize that each country’s HIV/AIDS epidemic is distinctive in terms of drivers, vulnerabilities, aggravating factors, and populations affected, and therefore the responses from both the international community and the nations themselves must be uniquely tailored to each particular situation, according to the needs of each country, and sensitive to cultural context. There is no one-size-fits-all approach to these epidemics, and thus UNAIDS should broaden its solutions to include strategies other than the promotion of condoms, particularly in generalized epidemics.

2. Normalization of sex work instead of promoting initiatives to provide alternatives to inherently exploitative occupations

Sex workers in many countries have higher rates of HIV infection than do most other population groups.\textsuperscript{191} In 2007, UNAIDS issued its Guidance Note on HIV and Sex Work, which was meant to “provide the UNAIDS Cosponsors and Secretariat with a coordinated human-rights-based approach to promoting universal access to HIV prevention, treatment, care and support in the context of adult sex work.”\textsuperscript{192} The Guidance Note rightly recommends a comprehensive set of services that should be available to sex workers, including microfinance opportunities, control of family assets, and education for life.\textsuperscript{193} However, the Guidance Note falls short of addressing a major root problem of HIV transmission in sex work.

The Guidance Note identifies sex work clients in many countries as “the most important source of new HIV infections, risking HIV transmissions to their wives and partners.”\textsuperscript{194} The report’s proposed solution involves educational programs that teach clients to use condoms and not to
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discriminate or use violence against sex workers. UNAIDS asserts that “[c]lients who are reached with educational and prevention programmes can become a positive force for demanding safer sex.” Fortunately, the Guidance Note does mention that overall demand for sex work should be addressed, but it only weakly proposes that demand should be decreased; this avoidance of a strong statement on demand for sex work hints at an unwillingness to condemn the practice, even though it has played a significant role in spreading HIV. In fact, a UNAIDS advisory group, which “was constituted in 2009 by the Executive Director of UNAIDS to provide advice and guidance to UNAIDS on matters related to HIV and sex work, while paying particular attention to the human rights of female, male, and transgender sex workers and the goal of universal access to HIV prevention, treatment, care and support for sex workers,” criticizes efforts to reduce demand for sex work; it promotes reducing “demand for unprotected paid sex,” given that, according to the document, it is not sex in itself that causes HIV, but only unprotected sex between discordant partners. This ignores that eliminating the demand for sex work in general would eliminate transmission of HIV through sex work. It also portrays a refusal to recognize that sex work in itself is dangerous, and it lacks recognition that condoms are not 100 percent effective in preventing HIV transmission. Although the advisory group does not portray the official views of UNAIDS, it is alarming that such a group would be commissioned by UNAIDS and have its recommendations included as annexes to an official UNAIDS document.

UNAIDS laments that sex work is criminalized in many countries, and recommends that “[c]ountries should now take action to decriminalize sex workers.” The same advisory group to UNAIDS recommends that criminal laws be repealed because, among other reasons, they contribute to the economic and social marginalisation of sex workers and their families [. . .]. This legal discrimination can lead to further social exclusion, as well as poverty, harassment and exploitation. Plainly, moreover, this marginalisation is a barrier to access to health care and adds to the risk that sex workers will be treated disrespectfully even if they have access to health services.

While laws must not prevent HIV prevention and treatment programs from reaching sex workers, these consequences are not an excuse to normalize sex work as just another career choice. It is possible to have anti-discrimination laws protecting sex workers, injecting drug users, and people with HIV without having to legalize or tolerate the underlying risky behavior. This also does not acknowledge that the safest option for a sex worker is to have these conditions changed; it ignores the dangers of being a sex worker no matter if she or he always has protected sex. This attitude that sex work is a perfectly acceptable career option leads to fewer efforts to provide
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opportunities to get women and men out of sex work. While laws must not prevent HIV prevention and treatment programs from reaching sex workers, these consequences are not an excuse to normalize sex work as just another career choice. It is possible to have anti-discrimination laws protecting sex workers, injecting drug users, and people with HIV without having to legalize or tolerate the underlying risky behavior.

UNAIDS must place a greater emphasis on the problem of human trafficking and forced sex work. The Guidance Note on HIV and Sex Work acknowledges that sex trafficking is a gross human rights violation that contributes to the global HIV/AIDS epidemic. However, it does not fully address what to do about this contribution and the fact that many women who choose sex work do not actually have the “agency over her/his body and sexuality” that UNAIDS affirms. It recognizes there are many “complex factors” that lead someone into sex work, but its subtle support of sex work as a profession does not make sense in light of the fact that sex work is a major source of HIV transmission.

3. Harm reduction instead of risk avoidance for injecting drug users (IDUs)

A UNAIDS strategy goal is to halve, by 2015, “all new HIV infections prevented among people who use drugs.” According to the UNAIDS Programme Coordinating Board, the comprehensive approach that is “among the most effective and cost-effective way to prevent the epidemic among injecting drug users” includes “measures such as access to sterile injection equipment; opioid substitution therapy such as with methadone and buprenorphine; community-based outreach; and providing HIV prevention information on safer injecting and safer sex.” It also promotes ART, HIV testing, prevention of STIs, and condom programs for IDUs, the latter three of which do not address the underlying risky behavior.

Although UNAIDS does acknowledge that drug dependence treatment is a component of a comprehensive package of services for IDUs, it does not assert that the best prevention tool for the IDU is to stop injecting drugs. It is clear, given the emphasis it places on it, that UNAIDS believes the main tool in the toolkit for achieving its 2015 strategy goal is access to sterile injection equipment. The UNAIDS 2010 Global Report laments in its first chapter that “people
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who inject drugs [ . . . ] lack sufficient access to sterile needles." 209 The report emphasizes that “[m]aking injecting safer for people who use drugs by providing sterile equipment is relatively easy and inexpensive and can significantly reduce levels of HIV transmission.” 210 It investigates how many people have access to sterile injecting equipment, 211 but does not indicate how many have access to treatment of the underlying addiction. The lack of emphasis on drug treatment access is disturbing.

UNAIDS also laments laws that criminalize drug use and drug users, arguing that “the application of criminal penalties drives people who use drugs away from HIV prevention and treatment services.” 212 Once again, this consequence is not justification for the decriminalization of drug use and thus the legalization of the underlying risky behavior. Instead, it is a call to ensure that drug users have access to the treatment that they need.

Access to sterile equipment, condoms, and STI prevention services can only work to help the drug user in the short run, however. These solutions assume that the best commitment is to leave the drug user in his or her current state. The emphasis should be on treatment of drug addiction in all forms, with the goal of getting the drug user to stop using drugs, thereby stopping his or her underlying risky behavior.

VIII. Conclusion

It is time for UNAIDS, as the global policy-setter on HIV/AIDS, to embrace evidence-based interventions that have been proven to work. The overreliance on and prioritization of condom promotion in generalized epidemics, to the exclusion of a person-centered approach that includes delayed age of sexual debut and partner reduction, runs counter to the evidence. On the treatment side, antiretroviral therapy has proven very effective, yet 9 million people currently lack access to such treatment. Support for those who have contracted HIV, including non-discrimination efforts, is laudable and a central component of a person-centered response. Continuing support and investment in these areas by UNAIDS and governments around the world is needed.

Although tens of millions of people have died of HIV/AIDS in the past few decades, it is not too late for large-scale, locally developed interventions to break the chains that allow HIV to spread throughout the world.
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